

"Express Mail" mailing label number:

EL 708283240 US

## A METHOD OF ESTABLISHING BI-DIRECTIONAL CONNECTIVITY OF A NETWORK ELEMENT IN A NETWORK

Gage, Timothy M.; Yang, Yi; Savage, Donnie V.

5

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### Field of the Invention

Distance-vector routing protocols (e.g., Enhanced Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (EIGRP)) require that each router send all or a portion of its routing table in a routing update message at regular intervals to each of its neighbor routers. The distance-vector routing protocols ("the protocol") sends partial updates of its routing table when the metric for a route changes. Each router maintains a neighbor table. When a new router is added to a network, the new router sends an unreliable packet to a well known address on all interfaces with the protocol enabled. The unreliable packet includes a hold time during which the new router must receive a response from the neighbors. In the protocol, reliability is provided only when necessary. A router that receives unreliable packets need not acknowledge the unreliable packets. Upon receiving an unreliable packet from a new router, the neighbors of the new router adds the address of the new router to their neighbor table and sends an unreliable packet on the same interface through which the new router's unreliable packet was received. The new router adds neighbors into the neighbor table when the new router receives a response to the unreliable packet from the neighbors.

Using a neighbor discovery/recovery process, each router dynamically learns about other routers in its network, and maintains the relationships by periodically sending packets to inform its neighbors that the router is 'alive'. When a router does not receive a packet from a neighbor within a certain amount of time, the router assumes that the neighbor has become unreachable or inoperative and removes the neighbor from the neighbor table.

It is possible to build a 'one-way' neighbor relationship between two routers. If a link is functioning in one direction from a first router to a second router, then the second router intermittently recognizes the first router as a neighbor. However, the first router does not recognize the second router as a neighbor.

5 Fig. 1 illustrates an example of the current implementation problem stated above where a one way neighbor relationship is temporarily formed in network 100. Network 100 includes a router 110. For the purposes of illustration, router 110 is coupled to a router 120 via two one-way links, links 115A and 115B. Link 115A transmits data in one direction, from router 110 to router 120, and link 115B transmits  
10 data in the other direction, from router 120 to router 110. As depicted in Fig. 1, link 115B is broken and cannot transmit data in the direction of router 110 from router 120. Links 115A and 115B can be, for example, a broken two-way link with ability to transmit data in one direction. Router 110 is further coupled to other routers in network 100 via links 105 and 107. Router 120 is coupled similarly to other routers in network 100 via links 122 and 127.  
15

For the purposes of example, router 110 is a newly added router in network 100. Router 110 establishes a neighbor relationship with other routers by sending an unreliable packet 130 to a known address (e.g., links 115A and 105). Router 120 receives packet 130 and determines whether router 110 is in its neighbor table. If router 110 is in the neighbor table of router 120, router 120 updates a neighbor hold  
20 time to continue consideration of router 110 as a neighbor, and does not take any further action. If router 110 is not in the neighbor table of router 120, router 120 adds router 110 to its neighbor table and sends an unreliable packet 140 on the same interface on which packet 130 is received (e.g., links 115A and 115B).

25 Router 120 then sends a reliable packet 150 to router 110 on link 115B. Because link 115B is not functional, router 110 does not receive unreliable packet 140 and reliable packet 150 thus router 110 does not add router 120 to its neighbor table. In the protocol (e.g., EIGRP), reliable packets require acknowledgement from the receiving router. Acknowledgments are sent using unreliable packets. Router 110  
30 does not acknowledge reliable packet 150. When router 120 does not receive an acknowledgement within certain predetermined time interval, router 120 removes

router 110 from the neighbor table. Every time router 120 receives a periodic unreliable packet from router 110, router 120 adds router 110 to its neighbor table and then removes router 110 from the neighbor table when router 120 does not receive an acknowledgement from router 110.

5 Router 120 intermittently recognizes router 110 as neighbor, however router 110 does not recognize router 120 as neighbor. When topology changes occur in Network 100, Router 120 will see Router 110 as a neighbor and attempt to forward the topology changes to Router 120 via reliable packets. Because Router 110 is not able to receive reliable packets from Router 120, other routers in network 100 will 10 build incorrect neighbor topology and can cause network congestion. A method and apparatus is needed to ensure bi-directional connectivity between neighbor network elements (e.g., in EIGRP).

## SUMMARY

15 The present invention describes a method of establishing bi-directional connectivity of a network element in a network. The method includes receiving a first unreliable packet from the network element, storing an address of the network element in a neighbor pending list, sending a reliable packet to the network element and if an acknowledgement to the reliable packet is received from the network 20 element, accepting the network element as a neighbor. The unreliable packet does not require a response and the reliable packet requires a response. The method further includes if the address of the network element is in the neighbor list, updating a neighbor hold count for the network element. The method further includes determining if the address of the network element is in a dampening list and if the 25 address of the network element is in the dampening list, updating a value of a reliability count of the network element to reflect higher reliability of the network element.

The method further includes if the value of the reliability count is a maximum value, moving the address of the network element from the dampening list to the 30 neighbor pending list. The method further includes if the network element is not in the dampening list, adding the address of the network element to the dampening list, and

setting the value of the reliability count of the network element to the maximum value. The method further includes setting the neighbor hold count for the network element and sending a second unreliable packet to the network element. The method further includes initiating a neighbor pending timer. The method further includes if  
5 the acknowledgement to the reliable packet is not received before the neighbor pending timer expires, removing the address of the network element from the neighbor pending list, and updating the value of the reliability count to reflect lower reliability of the network element. The method further includes if the acknowledgement to the reliable packet is received before the neighbor pending timer  
10 expires, moving the address of the network element from the neighbor pending list to the neighbor list, and removing the address of the network element from the dampening list.

The foregoing is a summary and thus contains, by necessity, simplifications, generalizations and omissions of detail; consequently, those skilled in the art will  
15 appreciate that the summary is illustrative only and is not intended to be in any way limiting. Other aspects, inventive features, and advantages of the present invention, as defined solely by the claims, will become apparent in the non-limiting detailed description set forth below.

20 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

The present invention may be better understood, and numerous objects, features, and advantages made apparent to those skilled in the art by referencing the accompanying drawing.

25 Fig. 1 illustrates an example of the current implementation problem stated above where a one way neighbor relationship is temporarily formed in network 100.

Fig. 2 illustrates a flow diagram of steps performed by a newly added router in a network during a process of establishing a neighbor relationship with other routers in the network according to an embodiment of the present invention.

30 Fig. 3 illustrates a flow diagram of the steps performed by a router upon receiving an unreliable packet from a new neighbor in a network according to an embodiment of the present invention.

Fig. 4 illustrates an example of a software modular architecture implemented in a router according to an embodiment of the present invention.

#### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

5       The following is intended to provide a detailed description of an example of the invention and should not be taken to be limiting of the invention itself. Rather, any number of variations may fall within the scope of the invention, which is defined in the claims following the description.

#### **Introduction**

10       The present invention describes a method and apparatus to ensure bi-directional connectivity between neighbor network elements in distance-vector protocol (e.g., EIGRP). The method further defines the dampening of neighbors that cannot establish bi-directional connectivity. When a router receives an unreliable packet from a neighbor, the router adds the neighbor in neighbor table by gradually developing the reliability of the neighbor. First, the router adds neighbor's address into a dampening table and a 'neighbor pending' table. The router then sends a reliable packet to the neighbor. If the neighbor does not respond within certain predetermined time, the router dampens the neighbor and removes neighbor's address from 'neighbor pending' table. Otherwise, when the router receives a response from 15       the neighbor, the router 'promotes' the neighbor to the neighbor table.

20       The router continues to monitor the reliability of the neighbor and when communication with the neighbor becomes unreliable, the router begins 'demoting' the neighbor and after reaching certain low reliability threshold, the router dampens the neighbor. The 'full neighbor' relationship is established after the neighbor reliably 25       communicates with the router. The router does not 'advertise' the neighbor in its neighbor pending table until the neighbor is 'promoted' to the neighbor table.

#### **New Router's Packet Flow**

30       Fig. 2 illustrates a flow diagram of steps performed by a newly added router in a network during a process of establishing a neighbor relationship with other routers in the network according to an embodiment of the present invention. Initially, the

router sends an unreliable packet to a known address (step 210). The router then receives unreliable packets from neighbors (step 220). Upon receiving the unreliable packet from a neighbor, the router adds the address of that neighbor into a neighbor pending table (step 230). The router then sends a reliable packet to the neighbor added in the neighbor pending table (step 240). The router then sends a reliable packet to the pending neighbor (step 250). The router then receives a reliable packet from the neighbor (step 260). The router moves the neighbor from the neighbor pending table to the neighbor table (step 270).

10 Neighbor's Packet Flow

Fig. 3 illustrates a flow diagram of the steps performed by a router upon receiving an unreliable packet from a new neighbor in a network according to an embodiment of the present invention. Initially the router receives an unreliable packet from the neighbor (step 305). The router determines whether the neighbor's address is in the neighbor table (step 310). If the neighbor's address is in the neighbor table, the router updates a neighbor hold count (step 315). The neighbor hold count indicates the reliability of the neighbor. Methods of determining a hold count are known in the art. Once the neighbor hold count is updated, the router does not take any further action.

15  
20 If the neighbor's address is not in the neighbor table, the router determines whether the neighbor's address is in a dampening table (step 320). The dampening table is a list that contains the addresses of neighbors that are not reliably communicating with the router. The router maintains a reliability count for each neighbor. The reliability count indicates the reliability of communications with the neighbor. The network administrator can determine a reliability scheme for a particular network configuration using methods of determining the reliability of communication known in art.

25  
30 If the neighbor is in the dampening table, the router determines whether the neighbor is dampened (step 325). A neighbor is dampened when either the neighbor is inoperable or unreachable. A neighbor is determined to be dampened after the reliability of communication with the neighbor reaches a certain low reliability count threshold, as determined by the network administrator. If the neighbor is dampened, the router does not take any further action.

If the neighbor is not dampened, the router updates the reliability count for the neighbor to reflect higher reliability of communication with the neighbor (step 330). The reliability count can be updated according to a scheme determined by the network administrator (e.g., lowering the value of the reliability count by a predetermined number or the like). The router then determines whether the reliability count has reached a maximum value (step 335). The maximum value of the reliability count can be either predetermined (e.g., a value of zero can represent a maximum value or the like) or dynamically calculated by the router according to a network traffic condition. If the reliability count has not reached the maximum value, the router does not take any further action. If the reliability count has reached the maximum value, the router proceeds to add neighbor's address in a neighbor pending table (step 350). If the neighbor is not in the dampening table, the router adds the neighbor to the dampening table (step 340). The method of managing the dampening table is known in the art. The router sets the reliability count to a maximum value (step 345). The router sets the reliability count to the maximum value assuming that the router can establish a neighbor relationship with the neighbor. The router then adds the neighbor's address to the neighbor pending table (step 350). The neighbor pending table is a list that includes the address of new neighbors that have sent unreliable packets to initiate a neighbor relationship with the router. The router then sets the neighbor hold count (step 355).

Next, the router sends an unreliable packet on the same interface where the router receives the unreliable packet from the neighbor (step 360). The router send a reliable packet to the neighbor (step 365). The router then initiates a neighbor pending timer (step 370). The neighbor pending timer monitors the time elapsed until an acknowledgement is received from the neighbor. The value of the neighbor pending timer can be predetermined or dynamically calculated according to a network traffic condition. The router waits for a reliable packet (acknowledgement) from the neighbor.

The router determines whether a reliable packet (acknowledgement) is received from the neighbor (step 375). If the reliable packet (acknowledgement) is not received from the neighbor, the router determines whether the neighbor pending timer has expired (step 380). If the neighbor pending timer has not expired, the router continues to wait for the reliable packet (acknowledgement) from the neighbor. If the

neighbor pending timer expires and the router has not received a reliable packet (acknowledgement) from the neighbor, the router removes the neighbor's address from the neighbor pending table (step 385). The router updates the reliability count to indicate lower reliability according to the reliability scheme determined by the

- 5 network administrator (step 390). If the router receives a reliable packet (acknowledgement) from the neighbor before the neighbor pending timer expires, the router moves the neighbor's address from the neighbor pending table to the neighbor table (step 395). The router then removes the neighbor's address from the dampening table (step 397).

10

#### An example of Software Architecture

Fig. 4 illustrates an example of a software architecture 400 implemented in a router according to an embodiment of the present invention. A central processing module 410 processes incoming packets to establish bi-directional connectivity with a neighbor. Central processing module 410 interfaces with an input/output module 420 (e.g., by executing commands, sending/receiving messages or the like). Input/output module processes incoming and outgoing packets according to processes defined by the protocol (e.g., EIGRP). A counter module 430 provides various counter and timer functions for central processing module 410 (e.g., neighbor pending timer, neighbor hold count and the like).

A neighbor pending table 440 stores a list of neighbor identifications (e.g., IP address or the like). Central processing module 410 uses neighbor pending table 440 to store the identifications (e.g., IP address or the like) of neighbors that are in the processes of establishing a bi-directional connectivity with the router. Neighbor pending table can be any data storage (e.g., linked list, hardware memory block or the like). A neighbor table 450 stores the identifications (e.g., IP address or the like) for neighbors that have established a bi-directional connectivity with the router. A neighbor dampening table 460 stores the identifications (e.g., IP address or the like) of neighbors that have unreliable or no communication with the router.

One skilled in art will appreciate that operations discussed herein may consist of directly entered commands by a computer system user or by steps executed by

application specific hardware modules, but the preferred embodiment includes steps executed by software modules. The functionality of steps referred to herein may correspond to the functionality of modules or portions of modules.

The operations referred to herein may be modules or portions of modules (e.g., 5 software, firmware or hardware modules). For example, although the described embodiment includes software modules and/or includes manually entered user commands, the various exemplary modules may be application specific hardware modules. The software modules discussed herein may include script, batch or other executable files, or combinations and/or portions of such files. The software modules 10 may include a computer program or subroutines thereof-encoded on computer-readable media.

Additionally, those skilled in the art will recognize that the boundaries between modules are merely illustrative and alternative embodiments may merge modules or impose an alternative decomposition of functionality of modules. For 15 example, the modules discussed herein may be decomposed into sub-modules to be executed as multiple computer processes. Moreover, alternative embodiments may combine multiple instances of a particular module or sub-module. Furthermore, those skilled in the art will recognize that the operations described in exemplary embodiment are for illustration only. Operations may be combined or the 20 functionality of the operations may be distributed in additional operations in accordance with the invention.

While particular embodiments of the present invention have been shown and described, it will be obvious to those skilled in the art that, based upon the teachings herein, changes and modifications may be made without departing from this invention 25 and its broader aspects and, therefore, the appended claims are to encompass within their scope all such changes and modifications as are within the true spirit and scope of this invention. Furthermore, it is to be understood that the invention is solely defined by the appended claims.